For the Northern District of California

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	No. C 10-03561 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	
13	GOOGLE INC.,	REQUEST FOR RESPONSE RE TECHNICALLY NECESSARY
14	Defendant.	COPYING
15		

In connection with the pending Rule 50 motion by Oracle, the Court asks both sides to explain how, if at all, Java programmers knew which classes and methods in the Java library were technically required to write in the language and which were not. Is this explained in the book? In the code comments? Or would a programmer have to deconstruct the Java language itself to find the invocations of classes and methods in the library? Please stick to the trial record. If the essential ones were not readily identifiable and the essential ones were mixed in with the nonessential ones, should all be treated as fair use? Google should answer in its opposition due Saturday and Oracle may file a reply brief on TUESDAY BY NOON.

Dated: May 20, 2016.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE